

Summary

Initial results for the DAQ 100 Cluster finder are promising

- TCL and CLF match within ~10%
- No clear cases where CLF is worse

Cluster finder error estimates still need some study

My current thinking is that the best estimate will be rather phenomenological. Something like

$$\text{Err} = 400\mu\text{m} + (\text{a reasonable } q \text{ dependence}) + \text{factor if deconvoluted}$$

What I intend to do:

- Slowly pass off cluster finding QC checking to others.
Sergie Panitkin, Manual for now and if this looks promising
To the collaboration (MDC?)
- Integrate the DAQ Cluster finder with ITTF (+ tcl)
 - Somebody gives me a function "fillCluster()"
 - I call this function for each cluster found.
- Write a reader so that clusters can be passed from the DAQ datafile directly to the tracker(s).